

CONFIDENTIAL~~SECRET~~

TO: Inspection Branch/PD/OL

DATE: 16 September 1960CONTRACT NO.: 602, T.O. 1

FROM: Chief, Engineering Staff, OC

REPORT NO.: 11 - FINAL
(If final, so state)Contractor

25X1

Address Commodity/Service Design + Fabrication ~~Contract-SECRET; Agency-STERIL~~
Recorders CB-12, CB-13, CB-14 Classification Equipment - UNCLASSIFIEDNo. of Prototypes 1 each type Contract Completion Date 15 September 1959The Contractor is on schedule NA Yes NoThe Contractor will remain within his allocated funds Yes X NoAn extension of time will be necessary X Yes NoAll phases of the technical progress are satisfactory Yes X NoThe overall performance of this contractor is: ☐ Satisfactory☒ Subject to Improvement

25X1

☐ Unsatisfactory

NOTE: If unsatisfactory or subject to improvement, indicate reasons under comments

Comments R+D Laboratory evaluation has shown all three equipments unsuitable for field use. Operation of the CB-12 and CB-13 is still not satisfactory after re-work by the contractor. Evaluation of the CB-14 could not be completed because of equipment malfunction. It is planned to have the additional work required done by some other contractor.
Recommended Action Task settlement.Date of Visit to Contractor's Plant 25 August 1960**APPROVED:**Inspected by:

25X1

Division: OC-E/R+D-EPChief, Engineering Staff, OCExtension:

25X1

~~SECRET~~**CONFIDENTIAL**

CONFIDENTIAL

~~**SECRET**~~

TO: Inspection Branch/PD/OL

DATE: 18 July 1960

CONTRACT NO.: 602, T.O. 1

FROM: Chief, Engineering Staff, OC

REPORT NO.: 10
(If final, so state)

Contractor

Address

Commodity/Service

No. of Prototypes 1 each type Contract Completion Date 15 September 1959

The Contractor is on schedule NA Yes NA No

The Contractor will remain within his allocated funds NA Yes NA No

An extension of time will be necessary NA Yes NA No

All phases of the technical progress are satisfactory NA Yes NA No

The overall performance of this contractor is: NA ☐ Satisfactory

☐ Subject to Improvement

☐ Unsatisfactory

NOTE: If unsatisfactory or subject to improvement, indicate reasons under comments

Comments The equipment is under study to determine whether to return it to this contractor or assign the task of rework to another company.

Recommended Action Keep task open for possible amendment.

Date of Visit to Contractor's Plant 30 June 1960

APPROVED:

Chief, Engineering Staff, OC

Inspected by: _____

Division: _____

Extension: _____

~~**SECRET**~~
CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

TO: Inspection Branch/PD/OL

DATE: 9 March 1960

FROM: Chief, Engineering Staff, OC

CONTRACT NO: 602, T.O. 1REPORT NO: 9
(If final, so state)Contractor [REDACTED] 25X1Addressee [REDACTED]Commodity/Service Design + Fabrication Contract-SECRET:Agency-STERILE
Recorders CB-12, CB-13, CB-14 Classification Equipment-UNCLASSIFIEDNo. of Prototypes 1 of each type Contract Completion Date 15 Sept. 1959The Contractor is on schedule Yes x NoThe Contractor will remain within his allocated funds Yes x NoAn extension of time will be necessary x Yes NoAll phases of the technical progress are satisfactory Yes x NoThe overall performance of this contractor is : ☐ Satisfactory☒ Subject to Improvement☐ Unsatisfactory

NOTE: If unsatisfactory or subject to improvement, indicate reasons under comments.

Comments A time extension and cost overrun has been received and will be processed. All equipments have been received and are under test and evaluation at the R+D Laboratory. The CB-12 has been tested and several deficiencies remain.Recommended Action Process cost overrun per contract obligation - Keep task open for possible amendment when laboratory evaluation has been completed.Date of Visit to Contractor's Plant 17 February 1960

APPROVED:

Inspected by: [REDACTED] 25X1Division: Extension: Chief, Engineering Staff, OC~~SECRET~~
CONFIDENTIAL

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

TO: Inspection Branch/PD/OL

DATE: 23 December 1959

CONTRACT NO.: 602, T.O. 1

FROM: Chief, Engineering Staff, OC

REPORT NO.: 8
(If final, so state)

Contractor 25X1
Addressee

Commodity/Service Design and Fabrication Contract: ~~SECRET~~-Agency: ~~STERILE~~
Recorders CB-12, CB-13, CB-14 Classification Equipment: UNCLASS.

No. of Prototypes 1 of each type Contract Completion Date 15 Sept. 1959

The Contractor is on schedule Yes ☒ No

The Contractor will remain within his allocated funds Yes ☒ No

An extension of time will be necessary ☒ Yes No

All phases of the technical progress are satisfactory Yes ☒ No

The overall performance of this Contractor is : ☐ Satisfactory
☒ Subject to Improvement
☐ Unsatisfactory

NOTE: If unsatisfactory or subject to improvement, indicate reasons under comments.

Comments There was no progress made on the repair of the CB-12, CB-13
(contractor's numbers MR-1 and MR-2) during the period. Contractor
has been asked to request time extension.

Recommended Action Continued technical monitoring.

Date of Visit to Contractor's Plant 11 December 1959

APPROVED:

Inspected by: 25X1
Division:
Extension:

Chief, Engineering Staff, OC

~~SECRET~~
~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

CONFIDENTIAL

TO: Inspection Branch/PD/OL

DATE: 10 November 1959

FROM: Chief, Engineering Staff, OC

CONTRACT NO: 602, T.O. 1REPORT NO: 7
(If final, so state)

Contractor _____

25X1

Addressee _____

Commodity/Service Design and fabrication of ~~Contract-SECRET, Agency-STERILE~~
CB-12, CB-13, CB-14 Classification Equipment-UNCLASSIFIEDNo. of Prototypes 1 of each type Contract Completion Date 31 October 1959The Contractor is on schedule _____ Yes x NoThe Contractor will remain within his allocated funds _____ Yes xx NoAn extension of time will be necessary x Yes _____ NoAll phases of the technical progress are satisfactory _____ Yes x NoThe overall performance of this contractor is: ☐ Satisfactory☒ Subject to Improvement☐ Unsatisfactory

NOTE: If unsatisfactory or subject to improvement, indicate reasons under comments.

Comments Equipment received to date under this contract has failed and has been returned to the contractor for repair. The CB-12 has been resubmitted for acceptance and has motor trouble for the second time.Recommended Action Payment recommended - continued technical monitoring.Date of Visit to Contractor's Plant 23 October 1959

APPROVED:

Inspected by: _____

Division: _____

Extension: _____

Chief, Engineering Staff, OC~~SECRET~~**CONFIDENTIAL**

~~SECRET~~

CONFIDENTIAL

TO: Inspection Branch/PD/CL

DATE: 6 August 1959

FROM: Chief, Engineering Staff, OC

CONTRACT NO.: 602, T.O. 1

REPORT NO.: 6
(If final, so state)

Contractor

Address

25X1

Commodity/Service Design and Fabricate CB-12, CB-13, CB-14 Contract-~~SECRET~~, Agency-~~STERILE~~
Classification Equipment-UNCLASSIFIED

No. of Prototypes 1 each of 3 types Contract Completion Date 30 June 1959

The Contractor is on schedule Yes ☒ No

The Contractor will remain within his allocated funds Yes ☒ No

An extension of time will be necessary Yes ☒ No

All phases of the technical progress are satisfactory Yes ☒ No

The overall performance of this contractor is: ☒ Satisfactory

☐ Subject to Improvement

☐ Unsatisfactory

NOTE: If unsatisfactory or subject to improvement, indicate reasons under comments.

Comments Sufficient progress was made during period to justify satisfactory rating.

Recommended Action Continued technical monitoring.

Date of Visit to Contractor's Plant 8 July 1959

APPROVED:

Inspected by:

Division:

Extension:

Chief, Engineering Staff, OC

CONFIDENTIAL

~~SECRET~~

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~
~~SECRET~~

TO: Inspection Branch/PD/OL

FROM: Chief, Engineering Staff, OC

DATE: 28 May 1959

CONTRACT NO.: BB-602, T.O. 1

REPORT NO.: 5
(If final, so state)

Contractor [REDACTED]

Address [REDACTED]

25X1

Commodity/Service Design and Fabrication ~~CB-12, CB-13, CB-14~~ Classification Contract-SECRET, Agency Sterile, Equipment-UNCLASSIFIED

No. of Prototypes 1 ea of 3 recorders Contract Completion Date 30 June 1959

The Contractor is on schedule. Yes x No

The Contractor will remain within his allocated funds. Yes x No

An extension of time will be necessary. x Yes No

All phases of the technical progress are satisfactory. Yes x No

The overall performance of this contractor is: ☐ Satisfactory
☒ Subject to Improvement
☐ Unsatisfactory

NOTE: If unsatisfactory or subject to improvement, indicate reasons under comments.

Comments It appears that this contractor continually underestimates time and costs for development work. It also seemed that there was a lack of coordination of project plans within the engineering group.

Recommended Action Continued technical monitoring

Date of Visit to Contractor's Plant 14 May 1959

APPROVED:

Inspected by: [REDACTED]

Division: [REDACTED]

Extension: [REDACTED]

25X1

Chief, Engineering Staff, OC

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~
~~SECRET~~